

In RE proper

United States District Court

n/c 09 557

Barry Dwayne Minaker #1300468  
J.B. CONNALLY UNIT  
Petitioner 899 FH 632  
KENNER, TX. 78119

For The District of Columbia

RECEIVED

SEP 11 2009

NANCY MAYER WHITTINGTON, CLERK  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

- Against -

Magistrate Judge Clinton E. Averette X

202 E. 5th Street  
Amarillo Texas 79101

petition for special Redress or

Office of The courts  
Mr. Ralph Mecham Director

permission other Relief AS A  
3) Strikes provision 28 USC 1915

One Columbus Circle N.E.  
Washington DC 20544

(b) (2)

Not Civil Action

Respondent Superior - U.S. of America

Now comes In RE proper (Barry Dwayne Minaker) Acting proper  
And petitions this court Clerk United States District of  
Columbia 333 Constitution Avenue N.W. Washington  
D.C. 20001 and files petition for special Redress or  
permission other Relief AS A 3) Strikes provision 28 USC  
1915 (b) (2) with support of this petition would show the  
court the following 2

In RE proper would show the courts Aid is invoking to --  
Concurrent Jurisdiction - Alternative Consent Jurisdiction

In RE proper would show for resolution on An "Ad litem"  
"Sic" claims based on to conduct judicious examination  
in to reason asserted for granting review on grounds or --  
questions raised - vs - A - vs their importance to

WA

Jurisprudence of Texas? This refers to I have a fundamental constitutional right to use the court system. This right is based on the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution. Under the First Amendment, you have the right to "petition the government for a redress of grievances." And under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment, you have a right to "due process of law." Put together, these provisions mean that you must have the opportunity to go to court if you think your rights have been violated.  
Lewis v. Casey, 518 U.S. 343 (1996)

In re proper would show for resolution on an (Ad item) (sic) claim is based on to conduct judicial examination into reasons asserted for granting review on grounds or questions raised - vis-a-vis their importance to jurisprudence of Texas? This refers to heed the court Mince v. Carter et al W.D. of Tx. San Antonio - Mince v. Sims Clemmer Judge Triana signed an order on June 15, 2007 finding plaintiff's vexatious litigation abuse re: D-1-00-07-001256 Mince v. Neal - U.S. Dist. Ct. Amarillo. - Mince v. Walker U.S. Dist. Court Amarillo. - In re Mince no. 04-10643 (5th Cir. July 22, 2004) Mince v. Dreter - USDC, 2002-cx-0310. Sanction (Lewis v. Casey 518 U.S. 343 (1996) Dismissed as frivolous, malicious or failing to state a claim)

The proper would show for resolution on an "Ad Item"  
(Sic) claim is based on a to conduct judicious examination  
into reason asserted for granting review on grounds or  
questions raised - vis-a-vis their importance to jurisprudence  
of Texas. This refers to Telephones Restrict - by -  
Attorney General Greg Abbott - Internal Investigation - office  
of the courts. Ralph Mecham - The Interest in Freedom from  
Confinement - False imprisonment by physical barriers -  
physical force threats of physical force - by other means  
by asserted legal authority. Act intended to affect third  
parties - Malice - Refusal to release Investigating or -  
participating in false imprisonment - which this refers  
to void sentence enhancement paragraph used May 02 2003  
Exhibit 35-44-483-C. Munnick v. Cockrell, USDC. 2002  
4-0310 - Magistrate Judge Clinton E. Axeritte who  
refuse to release Dec 9, 2002 - March 03, 2003 - See  
~~000000~~ - ~~0000~~ - FBI Munnick Barry Dwayne - ~~000000~~  
- - - which they're housing me around inmates with  
large sentences. Attempt have been made of my safety  
around of 450000. Obstruction of correspondence.  
The 18 USC 1702 VAHsing v. Commercial Union Ins.  
Co. Inc. 978 F.2d 486 497.

Coercive relief & grounds that the courts are invoking  
to. Judicial relief Alternative Intern relief - by  
concurrent jurisdiction & Alternative consent jurisdiction  
Defense 1). entitled to relief A demand for judgment for  
the relief & the pleader seeks Defense 2) petition for Special  
Redress or permission Other Relief AS A 3) Strikes provision  
28 USC. 1915 (b) (2) Defense 2). Specific performance - -  
malicious prosecution damages to their reputation - Defense

3). July 16, 2024. - ~~Review~~ And Release Russia wires -

Defense 4). Unlawful Use of pen Register or Trap. and  
Trace Device 16 USC. (B) - Defense 4). monitor Screen -  
under surveillance by convicted inmates LEO went to -  
retrieve all my writing - to forward to OSC - 35 - cell  
Other inmates fraudulent lawsuits Title 18 USCA 1341.

Defense 3). pocket recorder crime of Bribery involves  
Government Actor Defense 6) Transfer to appropriate  
court with jurisdiction

I declare or certify or verify or state under - -  
penalty of perjury that the foregoing facts is true  
and correct

Wherefore petitioner demand that the court Grant  
petition for Special Redress or permission other relief  
AS A 3) Strikes provision 28 USC - 1915 (b) (2) And such  
other relief as the courts find just in this - -  
proceeding - -

## Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing petitioner's Minnifee's petition for Special Redress or Permission Other Relief As A 3) Strike provision 28 USC. 1915-(b)(1) has been served on the Honorable Clerk of United States District of Columbia 333 Constitution Avenue N.W. Washington D.C. 20001 by depositing the same in the U.S. Mail - Indigent postage on this ~~31st~~ day of August 2009 - - Addressed to

Clerk US Dist Court of Columbia

Mr. Barry Wayne Minnifee # 130046R  
Signature Affixed